

# Male circumcision perceptions among HIV vaccine trial participants in Soweto: Qualitative study

CrossMar ← click for updates

### Authors:

#### Affiliations:

<sup>1</sup>Perinatal HIV Research Unit, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

<sup>2</sup>Wits Reproductive Health and HIV Institute, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

<sup>3</sup>School of Medicine, University of Texas, San Antonio, Texas, United States of America

<sup>4</sup>Laboratory of Infectious Disease Prevention, New York Blood Center, New York, United States of America

<sup>5</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, United States of America

<sup>6</sup>African Social Sciences Unit of Research and Evaluation (ASSURE), Faculty of Health Sciences, Wits Health Consortium, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

<sup>7</sup>Health Systems Research Unit, South African Medical Research Council, Cape Town, South Africa

### Read online:



Scan this QR code with your smart phone or mobile device to read online.

**Background:** Male circumcision has health benefits and cultural significance in South Africa and elsewhere. We sought to understand perceptions about male circumcision among participants enrolled in a preventive human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) vaccine efficacy trial in South Africa.

Original Research

**Methods:** We conducted a qualitative study with 28 consenting people aged 18–35 years old who self-reported that they were not living with HIV and who were participating in the HIV Vaccine Trials Network (HVTN) 702 vaccine efficacy trial in Soweto. Using a semi-structured guide, we facilitated four focus group discussions (FGDs) stratified by age, gender and sexual orientation. Focus group discussions were audio-recorded, transcribed verbatim, translated into English and thematically analysed.

**Results:** Four main themes emerged: (1) accurate knowledge about what male circumcision is, with some participants stating that it partially reduces acquisition of HIV and sexually transmitted infections; (2) emerging distrust of cultural circumcision because of perceived lack of transparency and adverse events; (3) misperceptions that circumcision boosted masculinity and (4) the influenced of parents, family and female partners in circumcision decision-making.

**Conclusion:** Young South African HIV vaccine trial participants accurately understand the HIV prevention benefits of male circumcision, but uptake decisions are embedded within a context informed by culture, sexuality, masculinity norms and values and the influence of women.

**Contribution:** In this study, we showed that young South Africans participating in HIV vaccine trials instead embed their circumcision uptake decisions within a context informed by culture, sexuality and masculinity norms and values and influence of women.

Keywords: qualitative; HIV prevention; circumcision; vaccine; cultural; South Africa.

### Introduction

Male circumcision, which is the removal of the penile foreskin, has been shown in clinical trials to reduce the risk of heterosexual acquisition of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) among circumcised cisgender men, 1,2,3,4 as well as reducing the incidence of urinary tract infections, penile cancer, human papilloma virus (HPV), herpes simplex virus type 2 (HSV-2) and genital ulcer disease. 5 Although there are no data from randomised clinical trials, several observational studies have shown that male circumcision also partially protects against HIV acquisition for men who have sex with men (MSM) and bisexual males who predominantly or exclusively engage in insertive anal intercourse. 1,6 Furthermore, there is evidence that male circumcision is associated with better health outcomes for female partners, including risk reduction of HPV, cervical dysplasia, cervical cancer, HSV-2, chlamydia and syphilis, and there is limited data that male circumcision lowers HIV acquisition risk for female partners. 7

Enhancing our understanding of perceptions of male circumcision may serve two key purposes. Firstly, it may inform campaigns that seek to increase the demand for voluntary male medical circumcision. In South Africa, where voluntary male medical circumcision programmes were introduced in 2012, predominantly adolescents access medical circumcision. There remains a need to create demand amongst adult men who have higher HIV incidence. Secondly, understanding the socio-behavioural context of male circumcision has relevance to HIV

 $\textbf{Corresponding author:} \ \textbf{Mbalenhle Sibiya}, \textbf{sibiyam@gmail.com}$ 

Dates: Received: 23 Nov. 2023 | Accepted: 08 Aug. 2024 | Published: 30 Sept. 2024

How to cite this article: Sibiya M, Laher F, Mulaudzi M, et al. Male circumcision perceptions among HIV vaccine trial participants in Soweto: Qualitative study. J Coll Med S Afr. 2024;2(1), a58. https://doi.org/10.4102/jcmsa.v2i1.58

Copyright: © 2024. The Authors. Licensee: AOSIS. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution License.



vaccinology: in the HIV vaccine efficacy trial step of the MRK Ad5 gag/pol/nef subtype B HIV-1 preventive vaccine, vaccinated circumcised men had higher HIV incidence compared to the placebo group. This outcome, specific to the step trial, does not negate the general finding that circumcision reduces HIV acquisition risk.

In South Africa, male circumcision is performed in multiple contexts. In this article, we use the term 'cultural circumcision' to refer to traditional male circumcision performed within the cultural context of initiation schools<sup>10</sup> and the term 'voluntary medical male circumcision' to refer to circumcision in the medical context by surgical methods or non-surgical devices.<sup>11</sup> Cultural male circumcisions, which are not regulated by the government and are generally performed in non-medical settings, have been criticised for high complication rates including dehydration, infection, disfigurement and death<sup>12</sup> and interventions to improve their safety have had limited success.<sup>13</sup> In Lesotho, where most male circumcisions are performed in the traditional context, a study found that circumcisions performed in initiation schools do not have the same medical benefits as those performed in the surgical context, despite no evidence of behavioural disinhibition, and this is likely because the entire foreskin is not removed.<sup>10</sup>

Beyond health benefits, male circumcision holds significant cultural significance in South Africa.<sup>14</sup> The cultural practice of male circumcision is likely to have been more pervasive historically.<sup>15</sup> Currently, this practice is maintained among some cultures, including Sotho and Xhosa men who regard it as a sacred religious practice, a preparation for acquiring manhood and a rite of passage into adulthood.<sup>16</sup> Performed in initiation schools, traditional ritual circumcision establishes a link between male participants of an age cohort. 15 Although initiates are required to maintain secretiveness about what is taught in initiation schools, one author suggested the emergence among the Xhosa people of the idea that initiation gives men the unrestricted right to sex rather than introducing concepts about sexual responsibility.<sup>17</sup> Therefore, the framework of culture contributes toward understanding the implications for health interventions.

While male circumcision has been widely researched in various regions, including South Africa, the low uptake highlights the need for a better understanding of the influence of socio-cultural factors on uptake<sup>8,14</sup> In our study, we explored the attitudes toward male circumcision as an HIV-prevention tool, including its cultural context. By examining these factors, our research aimed to offer insights to enhance circumcision uptake. Here we use the term 'male' to refer to those persons assigned male sex at birth.

## Research methods and design Study design

A qualitative study was conducted in Soweto, with five focus group discussions (FGDs) and a demographic questionnaire.

### Setting

The qualitative study was conducted during September 2018 and October 2018: at that time, the HVTN 702 HIV vaccine trial was active, <sup>18</sup> and South Africa's circumcision programme was already in its sixth year and widely implemented. The research was conducted at one of the HVTN 702 trial sites located in Soweto. The township has an estimated population size of 1271628 people. <sup>19</sup>

### Study population and sampling strategy

Using purposive sampling, potential participants were recruited by posting paper flyers on noticeboards at the trial site and qualitative study staff approaching people during their trial visits. Interested participants were pre-screened for age and enrolment status in HVTN 702 using a questionnaire on an electronic computer tablet. Staff then telephoned potentially eligible participants to schedule an FGD. There was a limit of 10 participants per FGD to preserve the potential for inter-participant communication and airing of a range of views.

The eligibility criteria for our qualitative study were participants enrolled in HVTN 702 (which, in brief, required participants to be healthy, 18–35 years old, at risk for HIV acquisition, not living with HIV and willing to discuss HIV risk reduction), who stated they were not living with HIV and who provided written voluntary informed consent.

### **Data collection**

On the day of the FGD, after providing written consent, participants completed a demographic written questionnaire in English. Multilingual study staff read the questionnaire, explaining in English and/or local languages (i.e., English, Zulu and Sotho) as preferred. The demographic questionnaire assessed date of birth, gender identity, sexual orientation, marital status, highest level of education completed, HIV risk perception of self and of partners and with whom the participant lived.

Finally, they participated in the FGD. Trained facilitators conducted four FGDs stratified by age, gender and sexual orientation: (1) cisgender and bisexual women aged 25–35 years; (2) cisgender men aged 25–35 years and (3) men aged 18–35 years who have sex with men (MSM). The facilitators used a semi-structured guide containing open-ended questions about male circumcision with probes designed and developed by staff to elicit discussion (Table 1).

Focus group discussions lasted up to 110 min and were held in a private room separate from the HVTN 702 clinic. A local multilingual female facilitator and an English-speaking female co-facilitator led FGDs with women. A local multilingual male facilitator and a multilingual female co-facilitator led FGDs with men. Facilitators were experienced in qualitative research and trained in HIV prevention. Focus group discussions were conducted in a mix of local languages audio-recorded, transcribed

**TABLE 1:** Summary of semi-structured focus group discussion guide questions and probes

Area	Main questions	Probes
Knowledge on and attitudes toward voluntary male medical circumcision		What did you learn about voluntary male medical circumcision from your participation in the vaccine trial?
Perceptions on culture and voluntary male medical circumcision	Do you think culture influences voluntary male circumcision?	-
Perceptions on voluntary male medical circumcision and HIV prevention	What is your understanding on the role of voluntary male medical circumcision in HIV prevention?	Do you think that voluntary male medical circumcision protects one from HIV infection?
Role of gender in choices about voluntary male medical circumcision	For female participants with male sexual partners: How easy or difficult do you think it is for females to talk about voluntary male medical circumcision with their male partners?	Have any of your sexual partners been circumcised?     How important do you think it is for your partner to get circumcised?     How likely are you to refer your partner(s) for voluntary male medical circumcision?     Any reasons why your partner may not undergo voluntary male medical circumcision?

HIV, human immunodeficiency virus.

verbatim, translated into English and validated against the audio recording.

Participants were reimbursed R150.00 (~USD12.00) for transport costs.

### Data analysis

Demographic data for participants in the qualitative study were entered into an online database on SurveyPlanet.<sup>20</sup> Descriptive statistics and frequencies were calculated using Microsoft Excel.

The FGDs were transcribed verbatim by trained staff. Qualitative data were analysed using thematic analysis.<sup>21</sup> Two analysts read the transcripts to become familiar with the data. The primary analyst then conducted a manual analysis, assigning codes and categories to the text. Codes and categories were not pre-determined but rather emerged from the data. Both analysts refined the codes, categories and themes through multiple discussions and re-readings of the transcripts, until they agreed on a final list. Quotations were selected to exemplify the themes; the anonymity of the speaker was preserved by indicating only the gender, sexual orientation, and age category (e.g., F, heterosexual, 25–35 means the participant identified as female and heterosexual and was between the ages of 25 and 35 years).

### **Ethical considerations**

Ethical clearance to conduct this study was obtained from the University of the Witwatersrand Human Research Ethics Committee (No. 180304). Voluntary written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to the study. To protect their privacy, participants used fictitious names during discussions, and the manuscript does not contain any personal identifying information.

### Results

### Participant demographics

Of 81 HVTN 702 participants approached, 28 (35%) participated in one of the four focus groups. Nine participants were heterosexual and bisexual women aged 25-35 years, 14 were heterosexual men aged 25-35 years who were divided equally into two groups and five were men and a transgender female aged 18-35 years who have sex with men. The median age was 28 years. Nine (32%) identified as women, 18 (64%) as men and one (4%) as transgender female. The sexual orientation of five (18%) participants was homosexual men, one (4%) was bisexual and 22 (79%) were heterosexual. Twenty-six (93%) participants were single, one (4%) was widowed and one (4%) was married. Three (11%) participants had not completed a high school education. For perception of HIV acquisition risk, 19 (68%) reported high/moderate risk and 14 (50%) reported that their partners were at high/ moderate risk. Four (14%) participants lived alone, and the remainder lived with family.

### **Qualitative results**

Four main themes emerged from the FGDs.

### Theme 1: Knowledge about male circumcision and reduced acquisition of HIV and sexually transmitted infections

Some participants in this study agreed that the removal of penile foreskin contributed to reduced chances of HIV and STI acquisition by circumcised males. Some participants presented knowledge that male circumcision does not eliminate the risk of acquiring HIV entirely:

'But then again also male circumcision helps, it doesn't prevent, it doesn't like 100% prevent you from getting HIV ... But it minimizes the risk.' (Female, heterosexual, 25–35 years)

'I know that if you want to reduce the risks of you getting STIs you can get circumcised.' (Male, heterosexual, 25–35 years)

'I know that MMC [Medical Male Circumcision], right, it reduces the chance of one being infected ...' (Male, MSM, 18–35 years)

Men who have sex with men participants volunteered that the importance of circumcision extended to men in same-sex relationships to reduce HIV acquisition, but a few perceived that receptive partners would not have to get circumcised unless they preferred to do so. Some MSM participants thought that insertive partners would gain protection by circumcision, but receptive partners would not:

'I think when it comes to like gay men [homosexual males], it is a matter of preference, but you need to do it, but if you are a bottom [receptive partner], like it is not a must-do, because you do not use it [penis] ...' (Male, MSM, 18–25 years)

Heterosexual and MSM male participants perceived that the foreskin encourages infections. Women and MSM participants perceived that circumcised men were more hygienic. Some female participants perceived that being uncircumcised was a barrier for males to use condoms:

'There's nothing more frustrating as, [laughing] when you must roll the penis – shift it [foreskin] that way and the condom the other way. And that thing plus a condom is what hurts actually.' (Female, heterosexual, 25–35 years)

'... some boys don't know how to wash properly; you find that the foreskin is closed off ...' (Female, heterosexual, 25–35 years)

### Theme 2: Emerging concerns about cultural circumcision

All participants said there were differences between cultural and medical circumcisions. It was said that cultures differ with regard to circumcision requirements. For example, they noted that Xhosa and Sesotho cultures required circumcision, but there were also some people within those cultures who did not regard circumcision as a cultural requirement. Heterosexual and MSM male participants said that the option of how to get circumcision was determined by the region where they come from:

'... since like I grew up in Johannesburg, so I do not think my parents will exactly have a problem with me being circumcised, but culturally, going back to the rural, they would not approve of me being circumcised ...' (Male, MSM, 18–35 years)

Although some participants preferred cultural circumcision, some volunteered reasons why they felt uncertain or doubtful about cultural circumcision. Women had concerns that the tools used for cultural circumcision were not correct for the task or were not sterilised. Participants across all FGDs mentioned that they perceived there was insufficient information about what happens during cultural circumcision and that the secrecy around cultural circumcision made them prefer medical circumcision. Some heterosexual males perceived it as limiting as the clinic provides only health education and not cultural information about masculinity. Male participants agreed that culture forbade males to disclose details about the experience of African cultural circumcision, but both male and female participants shared that the teachings at initiation schools included ideas of masculinity. Although MSM and female participants dismissed the teachings as gender-stereotyped, some heterosexual males valued the teachings as providing important guidance for life.

First participant: 'Because now going to the mountains, what is it that they are using ... or they use an axe or they use a ...'

Second participant: 'Razor'.

### First participant:

'If perhaps it was used by another person when you think about it, I think on this one they use whatever is available. Do you understand and those things are not hygienic and they not surgically cleaned.' (Female, heterosexual, 25–35 years)

'The only thing I'm saying is that there is a difference between and man who went to the clinic and a man who went to the initiation school. In the initiation school there are things that you are taught about, manhood stuff in case you have a son or daughter in future, how you should raise them and how you should handle them and then when you go to the clinic it's based on your health that this is

what you are supposed to do from three to six weeks until you heal. After you heal then you have to find out information for yourself.' (Male, heterosexual, 25–35 years)

'If you ask a person when they come back and you ask them to tell you what happened there [at initiation school], then, they told me not to say anything.' (Female, heterosexual, 25–35 years)

'The thing is there is something, there is something that I cannot disclose. I for one I went to the mountain, so I cannot disclose everything and put it down here in the open.' (Male, heterosexual, 25–35 years)

Both heterosexual males and MSM participants stated that they preferred medical circumcision at the local clinics because of the transparency of information, monitoring during healing and provision of analgesia. Some heterosexual male participants perceived value in the endurance of pain and 'initiation school teachings' said to be part of the African cultural male circumcision experience but said that it would be acceptable if medical clinics did not anaesthetise. Participants were concerned that people are injured and die during cultural circumcision:

I prefer clinical procedure ... rather than the initiation school, because of their case is going to the initiation school whereby people are contracting HIV/AIDS, they cut the using the same blade ... there are stories and when you go to the clinic, the first thing they do they test you for HIV/AIDS before providing counselling, after counselling they cut you with clean blades. Everything is perfect at the clinic and they monitor you after the procedure, you come back after 3 days to check how you are healing.' (Male, heterosexual, 25–35 years)

'... I have information as a clinic, information at the clinic after they circumcise you, you are going to drink pills, you are going to stay inside your house for six weeks.' (Male, heterosexual, 25–35 years)

'That is why I suggested that it is best both ways to limit the death. What causes people to die in the mountains [cultural circumcision] is they do not listen to what they are told and mostly again it's dehydration, because you are not allowed to drink water. I will stop there; I will not go further [to describe the ritual].' (Male, heterosexual, 25–35 years)

Participants also stated a concern that the same blade is used on everyone during cultural circumcision, a practice they regarded as non-hygienic and linked with the possibility of HIV transmission. They stated that this was different from local medical clinics, which they preferred, because a new blade was used for each person and HIV testing was offered.

Some suggested that cultural and medical circumcision practices be combined to limit the number of deaths and HIV acquisition. They envisioned a service where people would first get medically circumcised at the local clinic, then go to initiation schools to learn about their culture and masculinity. Simultaneously, there was a concern that it would not be culturally acceptable to combine practices:

'... then I would suggest that both be exercised, maybe you could start at a clinic and then later on go to the mountain, because what they teach you there at the mountain is not exactly the same as what they teach you at the clinic, there is other things that a lot of us we went to the mountain, have information about

woman, sex, how to live, how to survive and how to take things as a man.' (Male, heterosexual, 25–35 years)

'... Because remember it is not going to the mountains or to initiation, it is not necessarily just about getting the laws of tradition, but to also go through the pain of you know, your penis being cut, you know with an okapi [pocket knife], you know you getting that pain ... Yes, so, so if you are saying you will go to the mountain after you have been to the clinic I do not, I do not for see that happening, that is just my honest opinion.' (Male, heterosexual, 25–35 years)

### Theme 3: Circumcision for boosting masculinity

The discussions revealed a variety of reasons why men choose to circumcise. There was a perception among men of all sexual orientation that the benefits of MMC are rarely what motivates most men to circumcise. The decision to circumcise was mostly tied to boosting an image of masculinity. This idea of masculinity was discussed more in the context of cultural circumcision: men were expected to take part in it to honour family expectations so that they could gain social status and respect. Men of all sexual orientations said it was linked with the idea of manliness that was restricted to heterosexuality. A male participant perceived that girls were brought to initiation schools after cultural circumcision to test masculinity.

'... and in some places in the initiation school, because you are discharged to go home ... they bring girls for you to test how your penis works and that your parents did not waste their money.' (Male, heterosexual, 25–35 years)

Women were doubtful that cultural circumcision teaches boys to be men. Some participants said that the main motivation to choose cultural circumcision is to transition from being a boy to being a man. Some participants across all FGDs perceived that those who did not undergo cultural circumcision would never be recognised within their culture as a man. It was said that in some places, circumcised men are perceived by society to be more 'manly' than uncircumcised men, which motivates the choice to circumcise:

'... what they teach you there at the mountain [cultural circumcision] is not exactly the same as what they teach you at the clinic. There's other things that a lot of us, we went to the mountain [cultural circumcision], have information about: women, sex, how to live, how to survive and how to take things as a man.' (Male, heterosexual, 25–35 years)

Male participants perceived that cultural circumcision increased penile size and libido:

'Seriously, since I'm back from the mountain [cultural circumcision] and have endured the cold, I am a beast in bed.' (Male, heterosexual, 25–35 years)

Participants perceived that medical circumcision makes the penis smoother, cleaner and larger, and men said that it prevents weak erections, all perceived as benefits. Some female participants mentioned that they enjoyed having sex with men who were medically circumcised because the penis was smoother and cleaner.

### Theme 4: External influences in circumcision choice

Across all groups, it emerged that the decision to circumcise is not always made by the individual. Influence may come from external factors like culture, parents and female sexual partners. Participants mentioned that in some locales in rural areas, circumcision was practised as a rite of passage and parents made it mandatory to go to initiation schools. In contrast, those from urban areas were free to choose whether they wanted to be circumcised or not:

'Yes, I hear you, and I support him, as he says maybe about 10% does traditional circumcision but according to how I was brought up including my grandfather and great grandfather, I went for the traditional circumcision and so I also wish for my child to also go for the traditional circumcision. He will collect all the health information from the clinic after he has been to the mountain [for cultural circumcision].' (Male, heterosexual, 25–35 years)

Both heterosexual and MSM participants mentioned that they would get circumcised in order to please their parents and family:

'It varies according to like different beliefs, like Xhosa people as opposed to Sotho people, they are more strict when it comes to, like, going to the mountain [cultural circumcision] and doing it, because they feel like it is right of passage, do you understand? So even when you are a gay [homosexual man] person, you also have to think of your family and you have to honour your family, I think.' (M, MSM, 18–35)

'... but then if I am forced to do it [cultural circumcision], under cultural influence, then yes, I have to undergo that ...' (Male, MSM, 18–35 years)

Female participants mentioned that women influenced their male partners and family members to get circumcised. A female participant stated that she took all the male family members to the clinic to get medically circumcised. Women stated a preference for having sex with circumcised partners, and one female participant mentioned how she took her partner to the local clinic to get medically circumcised to improve his sexual performance:

'I took him by the hand and said, you know what, if you gonna continue [claps hands] having sex with me, let's go... Get circumcised then you will be alright...' (Female, heterosexual, 25–35 years)

### **Discussion**

Our qualitative study showed that, although there was consensus that male circumcision was a partially effective method to prevent HIV acquisition and STIs, more importance was placed on its perceived cultural role in establishing heteronormative masculinity and gaining societal status and respect. Males of all sexual orientations perceived that there are safety benefits of medical compared to cultural circumcision.

We found that participants had accurate knowledge about the benefits of male circumcision to men's health, including prevention of HIV acquisition and STIs. Women in this study perceived that being uncircumcised can also be a barrier to male condom use. Female participants understood the benefits for their male partners but did not mention any benefits for females: indeed, no participants volunteered information on the latter topic. This suggests a knowledge gap regarding the benefits of male circumcision for women, which may be helpful to address for demand creation. Men who have sex with men participants showed nuanced understanding that circumcision could partially protect insertive but not receptive partners from HIV acquisition. Generally, participants were not aware of the implications for protection of partial versus full circumcision.

A study conducted in the Western Cape province of South Africa found that there was less accurate knowledge about male circumcision.<sup>22</sup> Another study conducted in the KwaZulu-Natal province of South Africa<sup>14</sup> found that Zulu men perceived circumcision as unnecessary and had some misconceptions about the mechanism of protection offered by circumcision. However, our findings support those from Uganda<sup>23</sup> that found accurate knowledge on the topic but also cautioned that accurate knowledge about medical circumcision was not necessarily related to uptake. In Zimbabwe, knowledge about medical circumcision and HIV acquisition increased with age, education level and media exposure.<sup>24</sup>

Most African research on this topic has focused on understanding the perspectives of heterosexual individuals. Our study adds to the literature by also documenting the perceptions of African MSM. Human immunodeficiency virus incidence is markedly higher among MSM compared to heterosexual men, 25 and therefore it is relevant to understand the knowledge of efficacious prevention methods among MSM. In our study, we found that although MSM participants demonstrated knowledge of the HIV prevention effect of male circumcision, there was a misperception that circumcision should follow sex role: only males who mainly practice penetrative anal sex should be circumcised. A similar finding was reported in China,26 where circumcision uptake is low among MSM,27 and males who mainly practice receptive anal sex perceive themselves as having low risk for HIV acquisition and regard circumcision as unnecessary.

Cultural circumcision is perceived with varying levels of trust. In this study, participants expressed some concerns about cultural circumcision, including morbidity and mortality risks, HIV transmission and mandates of secrecy. Some of these medical concerns are upheld by data that have shown increased complication rates in cultural compared to medical circumcisions, including hospitalisation, penile damage and penile amputation.<sup>28</sup> In other research conducted in eastern and southern Africa, men reported abusive treatment as a concern.<sup>29</sup>

In contrast, in the Eastern Cape province of South Africa, there was a high level of trust in cultural circumcision, where participants attributed deaths not to the cultural practitioner, but to the interference from people external to the cultural practice.<sup>30</sup> Other authors noted community concerns that cultural circumcision introduced initiates to incorrect behaviours, such as encouraging substance use.<sup>29</sup> Our

findings show that people would send their children for cultural circumcision if they themselves had gone, but it also supports results from other studies that some older men were reluctant to send their boys to the initiation school because they did not trust that these schools could teach their children how to become respectful men. Some of these men reported that young males who come from initiation schools showed new undesirable behaviours such as substance and drug use.16 Women in this study also expressed doubts about the effectiveness of cultural circumcision in teaching boys to become men. Other studies have reported a decline in the practice of cultural circumcision, with parents preferring MMC for their children.<sup>31</sup> In our study, some participants did not perceive cultural circumcision as necessary within the urban community. The mandate of secrecy makes it hard for people within the community who have never had the experience of cultural circumcision to perceive it as safe.

We found that boosting masculinity was a major motivating factor for circumcision uptake. This phenomenon has been reported previously as culture-specific: for example, it has been reported that in the Xhosa culture in South Africa, circumcision affords men greater social status. 32,33 In addition to respect, the idea of masculinity also extended to sexuality: women in this study voiced a favourable perception of having sex with circumcised men. 34,35 Men reported that circumcision improved their sexual performance, which has been noted as an important demand creation message in other studies with similar outcomes. 36

Multiple factors are known to influence the decision to circumcise males medically or culturally in Africa: family members, female partners,<sup>37</sup> cultural norms and peer pressure.<sup>38,39</sup> In this study, participants reported that MSM participated in cultural circumcision because their families pressured them. Another South African study reported that parents would send their MSM children for cultural circumcision with the hope that it would convert them to heterosexuality, and the MSM would participate in cultural circumcision in hopes of being accepted by their communities and being given the social status and privileges given to heterosexual males who are culturally circumcised but not to boys or women.<sup>40</sup>

Female participants reported that they play a role in influencing their male partners and other male loved ones' decisions to undergo circumcision. This finding is consistent with a previous study in KwaZulu-Natal, which highlighted the significant influence women have on men's decisions to undergo medical circumcision. The focus group with males showed that all males in this study including MSMs were influenced by external factors to uptake circumcision. The focus group with women highlighted the importance of considering gender dynamics and the role of women in supporting or discouraging circumcision practices. Our data elucidate perceptions about male circumcision: potential benefits to health and hygiene, the tension between the between the cultural significance of circumcision as a rite of

passage in some cultures and risks of traditional practices, the importance of individual choice including partner's perspective and misconceptions about sexual performance and masculinity. Understanding these perceptions can be used to develop strategies that increase the uptake of male circumcision. For example, in our study men aged 25–35 years focused on medical benefits and cultural aspects, suggesting that campaigns to improve uptake in this group should integrate both approaches. Our data also support the inclusion of females in health literacy campaigns, because females perceive they can influence male circumcision uptake, possibly by highlighting the ease of using condoms when a man is circumcised.

A limitation of our study is that the knowledge of medical circumcision displayed by the participants in this study is likely to be, in part, a reflection of routine risk reduction counselling offered in the vaccine trial. Therefore, this study may overestimate knowledge when compared to general populations who would not typically receive frequent counselling. Additionally, the limited number of FGDs conducted suggests that our findings, while insightful, may not capture the full range of perspectives and experiences. Although FGDs are subject to social desirability bias, a strength of our study design is that we grouped demographically similar participants and were able to compare findings across the different cohorts.

### Conclusion

Although the medical field primarily regards male circumcision as an intervention for preventing HIV, STIs and other medical conditions, our study reveals that young South Africans participating in HIV vaccine trials perceive circumcision uptake decisions among men to be embedded in cultural, sexual and masculinity norms and values as well as by women. The insights from this research can help design comprehensive HIV prevention initiatives that integrate behavioural, social and medical elements to encourage increased uptake of male circumcision, addressing research reporting low uptake and to highlight the importance of ongoing research in tackling the complex challenges of HIV prevention.

### Acknowledgements

We thank the HIV Vaccine Trials Network (HVTN), Statistical and Data Management Center (SDMC) and the HVTN 702 Protocol Team for their support of this project. We are grateful to the study participants. We thank Putuke Kekana for assistance with conducting the FGDs. We thank Gail Broder and Michele P. Andrasik for helpful comments on the article.

### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no financial or personal relationships that may have inappropriately influenced them in writing this article.

### **Authors' contributions**

M.S. analysed the data and wrote the draft. F.L. conceived the idea, contributed to the study design, data analysis and wrote the draft. M.M. led the protocol submission for local ethical committee approval, assisted with data analysis and edited the manuscript. L.M.M. collected the data, validated the results and edited the article. T.S. wrote the grant application, collected the data, validated the results and contributed to article writing. S.H. managed the project and contributed to article writing. H.-V.T. contributed to the study design and manuscript editing. J.J.D. designed the study, supervised the project, analysed the data and contributed to manuscript writing.

### **Funding information**

This work was supported by the NIAID U.S. Public Health Service Grant UM1 AI068614 (LOC: HIV Vaccine Trials Network [HVTN]) as part of the HVTN Research and Mentorship Programme (RAMP) Scholar Programme. Funding for this work was also provided by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, award OPP1148133, via a subaward from the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center.

S.H. was supported by the Consortium for Advanced Research Training in Africa (CARTA). CARTA is jointly led by the African Population and Health Research Center and the University of the Witwatersrand and funded by the Carnegie Corporation of New York (Grant No. G-19-57145), Sida (Grant No: 54100113), Uppsala Monitoring Center, Norwegian Agency for Development Cooperation (Norad) and by the Wellcome Trust [reference no. 107768/Z/15/Z] and the United Kingdom (UK) Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office, with support from the Developing Excellence in Leadership, Training and Science in Africa (DELTAS Africa) programme.

### Data availability

The qualitative data illustrating the findings of the study are presented as participant quotes within the paper. The raw interview transcripts contain information that could potentially compromise participant privacy and will not be made public to ensure protection of participants.

### Disclaimer

The views and opinions expressed in this article are those of the authors and are the product of professional research. It does not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of any affiliated institution, funder, agency or that of the publisher. The authors are responsible for this article's results, findings and content.

### References

 Weiss HA, Dickson KE, Agot K, Hankins CA. Male circumcision for HIV prevention: Current research and programmatic issues. AIDS. 2010;24(suppl 4):S61–S69. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.aids.0000390708.66136.f4

- Gray RH, Kigozi G, Serwadda D, et al. Male circumcision for HIV prevention in men in Rakai, Uganda: A randomised trial. Lancet. 2007;369(9562):657–666. https:// doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60313-4
- Bailey RC, Moses S, Parker CB, et al. Male circumcision for HIV prevention in young men in Kisumu, Kenya: A randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2007;369(9562):643– 656. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60312-2
- Auvert B, Taljaard D, Lagarde E, Sobngwi-Tambekou J, Sitta R, Puren A. Randomized, controlled intervention trial of male circumcision for reduction of HIV infection risk: The ANRS 1265 trial. PLoS Med. 2005;2:e298. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pmed.0020298
- Alkhenizan A, Elabd K. Non-therapeutic infant male circumcision: evidence, ethics, and international law perspectives. Saudi Med J. 2016;37(9), 941–947. https://doi.org/10.15537/smj.2016.9.14519
- Templeton DJ, Millett GA, Grulich AE. Male circumcision to reduce the risk of HIV and sexually transmitted infections among men who have sex with men. Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2010;23(1):45–52. https://doi.org/10.1097/QCO.0b013e328334e54d
- Grund JM, Bryant TS, Jackson I, et al. Association between male circumcision and women's biomedical health outcomes: A systematic review. Lancet Glob Health. 2017;5(11):e1113–e1122. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(17)30369-8
- Moyo K, Igaba N, Kinge CW, et al. Voluntary medical male circumcision in selected provinces in South Africa: Outcomes from a programmatic setting. PLoS One. 2022;17(9):e0270545. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0270545
- Moodie Z, Metch B, Bekker L, et al. Continued follow-up of Phambili phase 2b randomized HIV-1 vaccine trial participants supports increased HIV-1 acquisition among vaccinated men. PLoS One. 2015;10(9):e0137666. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0137666
- Maffioli EM. Is traditional male circumcision effective as an HIV prevention strategy? Evidence from Lesotho. PLoS One. 2017;12(5):e0177076. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177076
- Mukudu H, Otwombe K, Laher F, et al. A cross sectional study of the prevalence of preputial and penile scrotal abnormalities among clients undergoing voluntary medical male circumcision in Soweto, South Africa. PLoS One. 2016;11(6):e0156265. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0156265
- Meissner O, Buso DL. Traditional male circumcision in the Eastern Cape Scourge or blessing? S Afr Med J. 2007;97(5):371–373.
- Peltzer K, Nqeketo A, Petros G, Kanta X. Traditional circumcision during manhood initiation rituals in the Eastern Cape, South Africa: A pre-post intervention evaluation. BMC Public Health. 2008;8:64. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/1471-2458-8-64
- Nxumalo CT, Mchunu GG. Zulu men's conceptions, understanding, and experiences of voluntary medical male circumcision in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. Am J Mens Health. 2020;14(2):1557988319892437. https://doi.org/ 10.1177/1557988319892437
- Marck J. Aspects of male circumcision in sub-equatorial African culture history. Health Transit Rev. 1997;7:337–360.
- Mavundla TR, Netswera FG, Bottoman B, Toth F. Rationalization of indigenous male circumcision as a sacred religious custom: Health beliefs of Xhosa men in South Africa. J Transcult Nurs. 2009;20(4):395–404. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 1043659609340801
- Vincent L. 'Boys will be boys': Traditional Xhosa male circumcision, HIV and sexual socialisation in contemporary South Africa. Cult Health Sex. 2008;10(5):431–446. https://doi.org/10.1080/13691050701861447
- Gray GE, Bekker L-G, Laher F, et al. Vaccine efficacy of ALVAC-HIV and bivalent subtype C gp120–MF59 in adults. N Eng J Med. 2021;384(12):1089–1100. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2031499
- 19. Stats SA. Soweto [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2019 Nov 10]. Available from: http://www.statssa.gov.za/?page\_id=4286&id=11317
- 20. SurveyPlanet [Internet]. SurveyPlanet. 2019. Available from: https://surveyplanet.com/
- Green J, Willis K, Hughes E, et al. Generating best evidence from qualitative research: The role of data analysis. Aust N Z J Public Health. 2007;31(6):545–550. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1753-6405.2007.00141.x
- Hoffman JR, Arendse KD, Larbi C, Johnson N, Vivian LMH. Perceptions and knowledge of voluntary medical male circumcision for HIV prevention in traditionally non-circumcising communities in South Africa. Glob Public Health. 2015;10(5–6):692–707. https://doi.org/10.1080/17441692.2015.1014825

- Moses A, Christopher K. Knowledge and practices of male circumcision as an HIV/ AIDS prevention measure among males in Mbarara municipality. Int J HIV AIDS Res. 2018;5(2):168–176. https://doi.org/10.19070/2379-1586-1800033
- Mangombe K, Kalule-Sabiti I. Knowledge about male circumcision and perception of risk for HIV among youth in Harare, Zimbabwe. South Afr J HIV Med. 2019;20(1):a855. https://doi.org/10.4102/sajhivmed.v20i1.855
- Baral S, Burrell E, Scheibe A, Brown B, Beyrer C, Bekker LG. HIV risk and associations of HIV infection among men who have sex with men in Peri-Urban Cape Town, South Africa. BMC Public Health. 2011;11:766. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-11-766
- Yuan T, Gao Y, Wang Z, et al. Acceptability of male circumcision for HIV prevention among men who have sex with men in China: A short report. AIDS Care. 2022;34(3):371–378. https://doi.org/10.1080/09540121.2021.1917759
- Zhang C, Webb GF, Lou J, et al. Predicting the long-term impact of voluntary medical male circumcision on HIV incidence among men who have sex with men in Beijing, China. AIDS Care. 2020;32(3):343–353. https://doi.org/10.1080/09540 121.2019.1679704
- Wilcken A, Keil T, Dick B. Traditional male circumcision in Eastern and Southern Africa: A systematic review of prevalence and complications. Bull World Health Organ. 2010;88:907–914. https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.09.072975
- Prusente S, Khuzwayo N, Sikweyiya Y. Exploring factors influencing integration of traditional and medical male circumcision methods at Ingquza Hill local municipality, Eastern Cape: A socio-ecological perspective. Afr J Prim Health Care Fam Med. 2019;11(1):a1948. https://doi.org/10.4102/phcfm.v11i1.1948
- Douglas M, Maluleke TX, Manyaapelo T, Pinkney-Atkinson V. Opinions and perceptions regarding traditional male circumcision with related deaths and complications. Am J Mens Health. 2018;12(2):453–462. https://doi.org/ 10.1177/1557988317736991
- Siweya T, Sodi T, Douglas M. The notion of manhood embedment in the practice of traditional male circumcision in Ngove village, Limpopo, South Africa. Am J Mens Health. 2018;12(5):1567–1574. https://doi.org/10.1177/1557988318776446
- Mayekiso A, Mawere M. 'Ndiyindoda (I'm a man)': Public secrets, harm and pain in Xhosa male circumcision. In: Mawere M, editor. Re-imagining indigenous knowledge and practices in 21st century Africa: Debunking myths and misconceptions for conviviality and sustainability. Bamenda: Langaa RPCIG, 2022; p. 297.
- Omukunyi B. Understanding the Bamasaaba men and masculinity in response to the safe medical male circumcision policy in Uganda. Masculinities Soc Change. 2022;11(3):237–262. https://doi.org/10.17583/mcs.10135
- Maraux B, Lissouba P, Rain-Taljaard R, et al. Women's knowledge and perception
  of male circumcision before and after its roll-out in the South African township of
  Orange Farm from community-based cross-sectional surveys. PLoS One.
  2017;12(3):e0173595. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0173595
- Morris BJ, Hankins CA, Lumbers ER, et al. Sex and male circumcision: Women's preferences across different cultures and countries: A systematic review. Sex Med. 2019;7(2):145–161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esxm.2019.03.003
- Pintye JC, Wirth KE, Ntsuape C, et al. Sexual function after voluntary medical male circumcision for human immunodeficiency virus prevention: Results from a programmatic delivery setting in Botswana. South Afr J HIV Med. 2020;21(1):a1042. https://doi.org/10.4102/sajhivmed.v21i1.1042
- Kaufman MR, Dam KH, Sharma K, et al. Females' peer influence and support for adolescent males receiving voluntary medical male circumcision services. Clin Infect Dis. 2018;66(suppl 3):S183–S188. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cix1057
- Froneman S, Kapp PA. An exploration of the knowledge, attitudes and beliefs of Xhosa men concerning traditional circumcision. Afr J Prim Health Care Fam Med. 2017;9(1):a1454. https://doi.org/10.4102/phcfm.v9i1.1454
- Mhagama P, Makono P, Tsitsi C. Communication-related factors influencing the uptake of voluntary medical male circumcision among men in Lilongwe Urban, Malawi. Cogent Med. 2021;8(1):1892289. https://doi.org/10.1080/233120 5X.2021.1892289
- Mashabane B, Henderson N. Ulwaluko: 'rights' of passage of gay men in South Africa. J GLBT Fam Stud. 2020;16(2):163–175. https://doi.org/10.1080/155042 92.302.1730487
- 41. Nxumalo CT, Mchunu GG. The development of an explanatory model for voluntary medical male circumcision in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. S Afr Fam Pract. 2021;63(4):a5346. https://doi.org/10.4102/safp.v63i1.5346